



International Journal of Sustainable Real Estate and Construction Economics

ISSN online: 2059-7789 - ISSN print: 2059-7770 https://www.inderscience.com/ijsrece

Evaluating causality between petroleum and gas and construction sectors in developing economies

Najimu Saka, Dorcas Titilayo Moyanga

DOI: 10.1504/IJSRECE.2023.10055196

Article History:

L8 November 2022
9 February 2023
1 February 2023
)1 February 2024

Evaluating causality between petroleum and gas and construction sectors in developing economies

Najimu Saka and Dorcas Titilayo Moyanga*

Quantity Surveying Department, Federal University of Technology Akure, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria Email: nsaka@futa.edu.ng Email: dtmoyanga@futa.edu.ng *Corresponding author

Abstract: Despite the fact that the construction industry contributes to the global economy, the majority of developing nations rely primarily on oil and gas resources. Consequently, this study examined the causal relationship existing between the construction industry and the Petroleum and Gas Sector (PGS) in Nigeria. Time series data over 37-year period (1981–2017) was obtained from the Central Bank of Nigeria's statistical bulletin and estimated using econometric approach including causality, endogeneity, etc. The weak and strong exogeneity tests revealed that PGS has significant impact on construction industry while the short-run causality test showed an insignificant impact. The study concludes that the PGS remain an enclave with little forward and backward linkages to the Nigerian economy and thus made non-commensurate contributions to the construction industry. The study therefore suggests that Nigerian government should embark on massive local content development to create extensive links between the PGS and the construction sector.

Keywords: construction sector; developing economy; econometrics; Nigeria; oil and gas sector.

Reference to this paper should be made as follows: Saka, N. and Moyanga, D.T. (2023) 'Evaluating causality between petroleum and gas and construction sectors in developing economies', *Int. J. Sustainable Real Estate and Construction Economics*, Vol. 2, Nos. 3/4, pp.208–222.

Biographical notes: Najimu Saka is a Senior Lecturer in the Department of Quantity Surveying at the Federal University of Technology Akure, Nigeria. He is a PhD holder and specialises in general economics, construction economics, economic policy, project management, etc.

Dorcas Titilayo Moyanga is a Lecturer in the Department of Quantity Surveying at the Federal University of Technology Akure, Nigeria. She is a PhD holder and has academic publications in quantity surveying practices, construction project management and construction economics.

1 Introduction

The resources of oil and gas have the potential to accelerate and transform any nation's economic and social development. Globally, the product of oil and gas is essential to the international community both in developed and developing countries (Wang, 2021; Khan et al., 2013). Besides, the activities of Petroleum/oil and Gas Sector (PGS) contribute to employment, gross domestic product, internationally traded commodity, innovation and so on (Cameron and Stanley, 2017). Hence, the oil and gas sector is vital and plays dominant role in the global economy (Akashraj and Mourwel, 2020) accounting for more than 70% world's energy consumption. Oil exports represent over 95% of the share of exports, about 41% of the GDP and about 86% of government revenue in 2005. With more than 37 billion barrels of proved reserves and daily output in excess of 2.4 million barrels, the Petroleum and Gas Sector (PGS) has emerged as one of the greatest in the world. In Nigeria specifically, the petroleum and gas industry serves as the country's economic hub and has developed over time to become its single largest source of income and foreign exchange. As a result, Nigeria rises to the sixth-biggest member of OPEC and the eleventh-largest oil production in the world (Adeogun, 2021), becoming the largest country in sub-Saharan Africa (Orji et al., 2021). However, the displacement of agriculture by the PGS in Nigeria created structural imbalances that impact long run economic growth and development. Firstly, despite the visible effect of oil production in terms of little real economy growth and increasing quality of life globally. Elwerfelli and Benhin (2018) submitted that most Nigerians still live in abject poverty. Secondly, the absolute reliance on oil made Nigeria the world's most oil-dependent economy but the most vulnerable to oil price shocks (Akinyetun et al., 2021; Olanipekun and Saka, 2019).

Although the construction industry is viewed as the main axis of infrastructural development and the sector that significantly contributes to the economic development, the petroleum and gas sector serves as the hub for other economic sectors (Godfrey and Oritsematosan, 2015). The construction industry as an economic sector makes a significant contribution to the economy's product, infrastructure development, housing and so on. Also, the construction industry contributes an average of over 3% annually to the GDP and instrumental in creating facilitates infrastructure development and fosters industrialisation (Faminu, 2021). However, the construction industry is faced with some challenges such as operating environment impact, poor local content, volatile and/or declining gross domestic product contribution and project cost and time overruns, etc. (Ojo et al., 2020; Abubakar et al., 2018; Moyanga and Uzoma, 2017). Besides, the changes in the construction output caused by the economic fluctuation tend to negatively affect the construction industry (Shaawat et al., 2018). Specifically, the persistent oil price shocks have negatively affected investment particularly construction and growth (Detail Commercial Solicitors, 2018; Malden, 2017).

In the effort to create an efficient construction sector and address these challenges in Nigeria, studies have explored the linkage between the construction industry and other economic sectors. Saka and Lowe (2010) examined the connections between the construction industry and the economy in Nigerian using econometric method and discovered that the construction industry is crucial since it greatly outperforms other economic sectors. Also, Anyanwu et al. (2013) and Yusuf (2016) investigated the relationship existing between the construction industry and the economy, and revealed that the construction industry is a contributor to the Nigerian economy though the contribution is minimal. It is affirmed that the construction industry is one of the least

productive economic sectors and a sector with no commensurate contribution to the economy (Ruddock et al., 2019; Bhavsar and Sangapurkar, 2018). Notwithstanding, it is noteworthy that the construction industry contributes significantly to the economy (Ogungbile et al., 2018) despite the Nigeria's Petroleum and Gas Sector (PGS) being the main driver of the country's economy. In addition, given that the PGS is a hub for all other industries, it may have the potential to support the construction industry's rapid expansion and development. However, all these studies do not demonstrate how the oil and gas sector affect the construction industry. Besides, it is critical to ascertain how the PGS and the expansion of Nigeria's construction industry are related. Hence, as oppose to the existing studies which concentrated on how the petroleum sector affects the economy and macro-economy, this current study examined the causal effect of the PGS on the growth of the construction sector.

2 Review of literature

2.1 The operation of petroleum/oil and gas sector (PGS)

In the global community, the petroleum/oil and gas resources are important products that are beneficial to the globe (Lalude, 2015). The Petroleum and Gas Sector (PGS) involves all activities within the petroleum and gas sector including mining (upstream) manufacturing, transport as well as wholesale and retail trade (downstream) (Adeogun, 2021; Oyebode, 2021). The PGS grew rapidly and subsequently replaced agriculture as Nigerian largest revenue and foreign exchange earner in the mid-1970s following the Yom Kippur war in 1973. The expansion in PGS brought huge earnings to Nigeria that are used to ease foreign exchange constraints and development.

The operations of the PGS are classified into downstream (refining, marketing and servicing) and upstream (exploration and production, or E&P) sub-sectors (Oyebode, 2021; Yakubu, 2017). Since the 1970s, activities in the upstream sub-sector have been impacted by market trends, restiveness in the Niger Delta and funding (UNDP, 2001). Recently, oil production is rapidly rising due to the development of deep-water offshore fields under the production sharing contracts. Also, Nigeria is developing capacity to liquefy and export gas, which further raises PGS revenues (Sweetcrudereport (SCR), 2018).

2.2 The construction sector

The economy's expansion and growth are considerably aided by the construction industry. In developing countries, the construction industry is a strategic and dynamic industry due to the variety of activities contributed to the economy. The contribution is reflected in basic development objectives such as the creation of employment, gross domestic product, income generation and so on. In sub-Sahara Africa, there is short and long-term positive relationship between the growth of the economic and construction expenditure (Osei et al., 2017). Studies have investigated the connection between the economy and the building sector, particularly in emerging nations. According to Dakhil (2013), there is symbiotic relationship existing between the economy and Libya's construction industry though the influence of GDP on construction industry is short-term while the impact of the construction industry on the GDP is long-term. Ramachandra et

al. (2014) submitted that the construction sector in Sri Lanka contributes about 60% of the GDP in the economy and using causality test, it was discovered that construction activities determines economic activities. Erol and Unal (2015) stated that the construction is the sixth largest sector of the Turkey economy but discovered that the relationship existing between the GDP of the economy and the construction sector time-based. Kassem et al. (2020) submitted that construction is being significantly driven by the economy and other factors since they serve as external risks that are affecting oil and gas construction projects. On the contrary, Dlamini (2018) established that there exists no linkage between the economy and the construction investment in South Africa.

Owing the extensive reconstruction after the civil war (1967–1970) and rising oil earnings after the oil boom of 1973–1974, Nigeria's construction industry experienced tremendous growth in the 1970s. Recently, the construction sector has increased its GDP and domestic fixed capital contributions by up to 10% and 60%, respectively (Saka and Olanipekun, 2020). The significant annual spending on the provision of infrastructure to support socioeconomic development makes the significance of the construction sector in national life clear (Okoye et al., 2016). The relationship between the construction sector and the economy in Nigeria has been extensively investigated.

3 Research methods

The study adopted econometric method to ascertain the influence of the Petroleum/oil and Gas Sector (PGS) on the development of the construction sector in Nigeria. Specifically, the study tested time series data on the impact of PGS revenues on construction industry outputs using Vector Autoregression (VAR). The time series data of the construction industry, GDP, and PGS used in this analysis were extracted from the statistical bulletin of the Central Bank of Nigeria, volume 28 published in 2017. The data was centred on 2010 constant Nigerian Naira and covers a thirty-seven (37) year period from 1981 through 2017. The Vector Autoregression (VAR) techniques involve sequential tests and procedures such as unit root, cointegration, causality and exogeneity tests, Forecast Error Variance Decomposition (FEVD) and Impulse Response Function (IRFs). As suggested by Li and Chau (2016), unit root, cointegration, causality and exogeneity tests, forecast error variance decomposition, and impulse response function are the sequential tests and procedures that are adopted when using the Vector Autoregression (VAR) approaches. In this case, the univariate Autoregression (AR) model is extended to multivariate time series data.

The ability of the VAR model has been confirmed over time to forecast, policy analysis and structural inferencing of time series data in economic situations (Okonkwo et al., 2017; Patterson, 2000), construction development (Asuquo and Ogwueleka, 2019), oil market (Kilian and Zhou, 2020), etc. Hence, the Vector AutoRegression model becomes the basic technique for this study's analysis of the multivariate time series data. Here, in order for the short run analysis of time series data in I to 3 that are co-integrated to modify the divergence from its long run equilibrium, the Vector AutoRegression was adopted by adding the error correction term \mathcal{E} . This led to vector error correction model, which involves adjusting estimates of short-run dynamics and the long-run equilibrium. Equations (1) through (3) describe the model with vector error correction.

$$\Delta LCNS_{t} = \phi_{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_{11i} \Delta LCNS_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_{12i} \Delta LPGS_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_{13} \Delta LGDP_{t-i} + \alpha_{11}ECT_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{1t}$$

$$(1)$$

$$\Delta LPGS_{t} = \phi_{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_{21i} \Delta LCNS_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_{22i} \Delta LPGS_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_{23} \Delta LGDP_{t-i} + \alpha_{21}ECT_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{2t}$$
(2)

$$\Delta LGDP_{t} = \phi_{3} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_{31i} \Delta LCNS_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_{32i} \Delta LPGS_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_{33} \Delta LGDP_{t-i} + \alpha_{31}ECT_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{3t}$$
(3)

where i = 1, ..., N to lag, t = 1, ..., T represents the time; is the uncorrelated error term while ECT denotes the lagged error term from long-run relationship.

where i = 1, ..., N corresponds to lag, ECT stands for the lagged-error term, t = 1, ..., T stands for the time and ε_t is the non-stationary error term.

3.1 Co-integration analysis

When two or more non-stationary time series data are combined linearly to create a stationary time series, co-integration is utilised. In this study, the VAR-based co-integration tests developed by Johansen and Juselius are used to assess the co-integration limits on the unrestricted VAR. The co-integration tests, which demand that the co-integrating time series data be integrated of the same order, are preceded by the test for unit root or stationarity (Ghirmay, 2004). The idea that time series data are stationary serves as the foundation for time series models. Thus, the unit root test is the common method for determining if the variables in a series are stationary. Li et al. (2018) adopted augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) for the unitroot test though this current study used two tests of unit root which are the Phillips-Perron (PP) and the ADF.

3.2 Causality and exogeneity

Exogeneity is the quality of being 'determined' outside the model under investigation, whereas causation explains the relationship between economic factors. The short run Granger causality, long run weak exogeneity test, and long run strong exogeneity test are computed using the vector error correction model. The Granger causality test, on the other hand, is a statistical test for assessing if time series data can predict another in the short term. Conversely, the ideas of weak, strong and super exogeneity relate current variables to relevant parameters, conditional inferences and forecasting, respectively. In this study, the three-causality test was adopted to estimate possible causal effect of the revenues of petroleum/oil and gas sector on construction industry outputs.

4 Results

4.1 Line graph

The line diagram showed that the time series data from 1981 to 2017 displayed a parallel and oblique trend. Between 1981 and 2003 both the GDP and PGS almost follows the same pattern of growth. However, between 2004 and 2015 the GDP moved positively while the PGS grew negatively. The Construction Sector (CNS) remains almost flat on the horizon until 1998 when growth began to increase through to 2017 (see, Figure 1).



Figure 1 Diagonal line graph of CNS, GDP and PGS

4.2 Descriptive statistics

The result in Table 1 showed the descriptive statistics on Petroleum/oil and Gas Sector (PGS), Construction Sector (CNS) and the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The statistics indicated that the GDP is the largest with a mean and standard deviation of 32749.950 and 18889.200, respectively while the construction sector has the lowest mean and standard deviation of 1002.612 and 725.540, respectively.

4.3 Estimates of stationarity test (unit root)

From the result, only the GDP is non-stationary at 1st difference based on the Phillips-Perron (PP) and Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) tests in difference and level. The ADF and PP tests showed that the CNS and PGS are both stationary at first difference (see Table 2). The time series data were subsequent converted into the natural logarithm, and the stationarity test was then repeated. The result on Table 2 also presented the stationarity test using logged data and indicated that the LCNS and LGDP are stationary at level I (0) with ADF with trend. Whereas at first difference I (1), the LPGS is stationary with or without trend for the PP and ADF tests. In summary the LCNS and

214 N. Saka and D.T. Moyanga

LGDP are I (0) while the LPGS is I (1). This implied that the co-integration test is therefore crucial since at level 1, not all time series data are stationary.

	CNS	GDP	PGS
Mean	1002.612	32749.95	6730.875
Median	679.2004	22449.41	6831.768
Maximum	2680.216	69023.93	9294.051
Minimum	335.7586	13779.26	4052.978
Std. Dev.	725.5399	18889.20	1471.115
Skewness	1.241479	0.801592	-0.009323
Kurtosis	3.145888	2.141006	2.052787
Jarque-Bera	9.537309	5.099938	1.383738
Probability	0.008492	0.078084	0.500640
Sum	37096.65	1211748.	249042.4
Sum Sq. Dev.	18950694	1.28E+10	77910423
Observations	37	37	37

Table 1Statistics of CNS, GDP and PGS

Table 2	Result of the augmented Dicke	v-Fuller (ADF) and Phillins-Perron	(\mathbf{PP})) tests
	Result of the augmented Dicke	y -1 unor (mor	j and i mmps-i chon	(11)	

		ADI at l	F test level	ADF tes differ	t in 1st ence	PP in le	test evel	PP test differe	in 1st ence	
S/no.	Series	No trend	With trend	No trend	With trend	No trend	With trend	No trend	With trend	Concl
1	CNS	0.9633	0.7613	0.0476	0.1375	0.9984	0.6539	0.0558	0.1421	I(1)
2	GDP	0.9624	0.2873	0.1650	0.3552	0.9997	0.7765	0.1875	0.3841	I(2)
3	PGS	0.5686	0.9728	0.0001	0.0001	0.5529	0.9728	0.0001	0.0001	I(1)
4	LCNS	0.9317	0.0612	0.0182	0.0634	0.9732	0.0025	0.0221	0.1342	I(0)
5	LGDP	0.9953	0.0273	0.0205	0.0899	0.9937	0.2968	0.0298	0.1235	I(0)
6	LPGS	0.5630	0.9714	0.0001	0.0001	0.5630	0.9750	0.0001	0.0000	I(1)

4.4 Estimates of co-integration test

The result on Table 3 showed the co-integration tests with the null hypothesis indicating that there is no co-integrating equation and the alternative hypothesis indicating that there is equation. The results of co-integration tests on Table 3 indicated that there is no co-integrating equation under the null hypothesis but otherwise under the alternative hypothesis. The results showed that the Eigen value and trace statistic both rejected the null hypothesis with zero co-integration equation at less than 5% significant level (p = 0.002). Hence, the presence of co-integration indicates that the time series data and causal relationship defined by the vector error correction model have a long-term equilibrium simultaneous linkage.

<i>Hypothesised no. of CE(s)</i>	Eigen- value	Trace statistic	0.05 Critical value	Prob.	Max-Eigen statistic	0.05 critical value	Prob.
None	0.464089	32.98707	29.79707	0.0207**	21.83256	21.13162	0.0398**
At most 1	0.266844	11.15451	15.49471	0.2022	10.86389	14.26460	0.1611
At most 2	0.008269	0.290620	3.841466	0.5898	0.290620	3.841466	0.5898

Table 3Co-integration test for LCNS LGDP LPGS

Notes: ** represent rejecting the hypothesis at 0.05 level.

4.5 Causality and exogeneity tests

To ascertain the direction of the causality between the petroleum/oil and gas and the construction sectors of the economy in Nigeria, hypotheses were tested. The null hypotheses state that the Nigerian Construction Sector (CNS) do not cause the Petroleum and Gas Sector, and that the PGS do not cause the development of CNS. The Table 4 presented the short-run granger causality estimates and the result revealed that there is causality between LCNS, LGDP and LPGS. The LGDP significantly Granger caused the LCNS $\chi = 9.058376$, *p*-value = 0.002615; and the LCNS also significantly Granger caused the LGDP $\chi = 6.286385$, *p*-value = 0.012167. This implied that there exists bidirectional causal linkage between the economy and the construction industry. Also, LCNS Granger caused the LCNS (p = 0.765), indicating unidirectional causality between the petroleum/oil and gas and construction sectors. Furthermore, the LGDP also granger caused the LPGS $\chi = 9.058378$, *p*-value = 0.002615 while the LPGS does not significantly Granger caused the LGDP indicating a unidirectional causality existing between the economy and the construction causality existing between the economy and the petroleum/oil and gas and construction sectors.

	Null hypothesis	Chi-square	Prob.
1. Granger causality			
a. LGDP \rightarrow LCNS	b ₁₃ =0	9.058376	0.002615***
b. LCNS →LGDP	b ₃₂ =0	6.286385	0.012167**
c. LPGS →LCNS	b ₁₃ =0	0.089333	0.765027
d. LCNS →LPGS	b ₂₂ =0	6.286388	0.012167**
e. LPGS →LGDP	b ₃₃ =0	0.089325	0.765037
f. LGDP \rightarrow LPGS	b ₂₃ =0	9.058378	0.002615***
2. Weak exogeneity test			
a. LCNS	a ₁₁ =0	4.589204	0.032174**
b. LPGS	a ₁₂ =0	9.789890	0.001755***
c. LGDP	a ₁₃ =0	2.828242	0.092620*

 Table 4
 Causality and exogeneity tests

	Null hypothesis	Chi-square	Prob.
3. Strong exogeneity test			
a. LGDP \rightarrow LCNS	$B_{13}=a_{11}=0$	9.169727	0.010205**
b. LCNS →LGDP	$B_{32}=a_{13}=0$	7.624068	0.022103**
c. LPGS \rightarrow LCNS	$B_{12}=a_{11}=0$	5.281930	0.071292*
d. LCNS \rightarrow LPGS	$B_{22}=a_{12}=0$	25.42877	0.000003***
e. LPGS →LGDP	$B_{33}=a_{13}=0$	3.311283	0.190970
f. LGDP \rightarrow LPGS	$B_{23}=a_{12}=0$	24.95301	0.000004***

 Table 4
 Causality and exogeneity tests (continued)

Notes: *, **, and *** denotes rejecting the null hypothesis at 10% 5% and 1%, respectively.

On the other hand, evidence was provided by the weak exogeneity estimates in the long run indicating that the LCNS, LPGS, and LGDP are not weakly exogenous in the system $\chi = 4.589204$, *p*-value= 0.032174; $\chi = 9.789890$, *p*-value=0.001755 and $\chi = 2.828242$, *p*-value= 0.092620, respectively. This implied a bidirectional long run causality relationship existing between the time series data. Although the no causal effect of LPGS on LGDP cannot be rejected, the long run strong exogeneity testing estimates show that all null hypotheses are rejected. This implied an insignificant long run causality between the petroleum/oil and gas sector and the economy.

4.6 Discussions of results

This study investigated the causal linkage between the revenues of the petroleum/oil and gas sector and the outputs of the construction industry. Through the estimates, the result reveals that in one direction, the linkage between GDP and the Construction Sector (CNS) (GDP \rightarrow CNS) causality test indicates a short-term significant contribution of the GDP on the CNS. Similar to this, the long-term results of the weak and strong exogeneity tests show that the GDP greatly contributed to the CNS. In the opposite way, the short run causality test's conclusion that there is a significant causal relationship between the CNS and GDP (CNS \rightarrow GDP) i.e., the CNS significantly caused the GDP. Similar to this, the results of the long run weak and strong exogeneity tests indicate that the CNS has a large causal impact on GDP. In summary, there is a two-way interaction between the economy and the construction industry. The impact of CNS on the GDP can be explained through the nexus of investment. Investment like construction is one of the engines of growth in developing economies. The GDP or economic activities is a critical component of construction demand function. As a result, the growth of the construction industry is greatly influenced by the state of the economy. Besides, the macro-economic situation and the construction industry are intricately linked (Okoye, 2018; Erol and Unal, 2015). However, this aspect of the result is not the main focus or aim of this current study. The premise being that this finding has been established by international studies in Sri Lanka, UK, Turkey, South Africa, etc. (Pheng and Hou, 2019; Dlamini, 2018; Erol and Unal, 2015) and in Nigeria (Saka and Olanipekun, 2020; Okoye et al., 2018).

Furthermore, the link between the Petroleum and Gas Sector (PGS) and GDP causalities through the short-run test indicates insignificant effect of the PGS on the GDP. In the long-run, the weak exogeneity test depicts that the PGS largely caused the

GDP whereas the strong exogeneity test indicates that the PGS insignificant impact the GDP. Summarily, only the weak exogeneity test returned a significant causal effect of petroleum and gas sector on the GDP of the economy. On the other direction, the causal link between GDP and PGS in short run indicates that the GDP greatly caused the PGS. The long run weak and strong exogeneity tests show that the GDP significantly caused the PGS. This implies that the GDP creates demand for investment in both the upstream and downstream subsectors of the PGS. All investments in the PGS except from the IOCs (foreign investments) are sourced from the national economy. Owing the policy of local content development, there is increasing interest in the PGS (Udok et al., 2020; NNPC, 2005).

More specifically, the study reveals that a unidirectional causal relationship exists between the petroleum/oil and gas and the construction sectors. In one direction, the linkage between PGS and CNS in the short run through causality test shows that PGS do not influence or contribute to the development of CNS. This was established by Khan et al. (2013) that there is no linkage existing between Malaysia's construction and, oil and gas sectors. However, Al-Tabtabai and Soliman (2021) submitted that the oil sector in Kuwait has causal relationship with the construction sector in terms of the capital and infrastructural projects. Notwithstanding, the result of this current study on the long run weak and strong exogeneity tests indicates significant causal effect of the PGS on the CNS. On the other hand, the short-term relationship between the CNS and PGS suggests that the CNS largely contributed to the PGS. Likewise, the long-term results of the weak and strong exogeneity tests also indicate that the CNS considerably contributed to the PGS. This is reflected in how the CNS relates closely to the PGS mostly through the downstream operations. Globally, the construction sector with active participation serve as EPCs of oil and gas facilities construction which include petrol and gas stations, pipeline, depot, refineries, gas plant and petrochemical complexes (Suppramaniam and Ismail, 2020; Okoye et al., 2018; Tsiga et al., 2017).

The observed minimal causal impact of the PGS on the CNS and GDP may not be too surprising given the enclave nature of the PGS in the economy (Soremi, 2020). The PGS has low local content and thus little backward and forward connections in the Nigerian economy since it is being dominated by International Oil Corporations (IOCs). Because of the massive involvement of IOCs, most of the values adding activities are sourced from foreign Oil Service Corporations (OSCs). There is a tendency for IOCs to isolate the PGS from the rest of the economy due to the highly capital-intensive nature of the PGS coupled with the significant vertical integration of IOCs. Other factors such as volatility of the international oil market and economic instability (Kassem et al., 2020), crowding out agriculture and manufacturing, Dutch disease (Adetutu et al., 2018), corrupt practices (Adamolekun, 2020), sparking violent conflicts (Elwerfelli and Benhin, 2018; Ogbonifo, 2015), negative social and environmental impact (Xiao et al., 2019; Soremi, 2020), undermining democracy, the use of Joint Venture (JV) instead of Production Sharing Contract (PSC) and low refining capacity which necessitates the importation of refined products may have also contributed to the negative effect of the PGS on the CNS & GDP. However, given that the PGS is the single greatest source of revenue in the Nigerian economy (86%), it is possible to explain the observed considerable impact of the PGS on the CNS & GDP. As a result, the PGS is the primary driver of public spending on governance and capital goods, such as construction (Saka and Olanipekun, 2020).

4.7 Implication of the study

Given that the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) significantly effects the construction sector implies that diversification of the economy and exports is critical to overcome the intermittent booms and bursts of the Petroleum and Oil Sector (PGS). The diversification of exports is important to stabilise revenue and foreign exchange earnings as well as aggregate demand and government programmes. The over-dependence on the PGS for revenue and foreign exchange is not sustainable particularly for the economy with over 200 million population and GDP over \$500million. Thus, the sustainable development and infrastructure of the construction sector are predicted on a robust and well diversified economy.

To overcome the challenges associated with linkage and enclave nature of the PGS, there is the need to for a strong policy on local content development. Improving the quality of human resources, technology development and national financial system is important to domesticate the PGS. Additionally, it is important to empower local construction firms to partner IOCs in joint venture contract instead of relying on foreign firms. This is expected to create a cluster of industries around PGD towards making the PGS the ultimate driver of the Nigeria economic growth.

It is important to improve climate for all sectors to overcome the symptoms of Dutch disease when the PGS crowds out investment in other sectors. Government policies on the economy should aim at sustainable investment in all sectors particularly sectors of construction, agriculture and industries. Also, it is important to eradicate corruption or at least minimise it to the barest level through improvement in due process and transparency for sustainable infrastructure and the economy. The recent spike in youth restiveness, vandalisation and oil theft must be addressed for the maximum contribution of the PGS to revenue and foreign exchange.

Lastly, growth and employment are sustainable when government programmes are consistent and according to plan. Thus, construction and infrastructure expenditure must be well researched and strategically planned to achieve pre-determined ends to past uncoordinated developments. Also, to avoid disruption to government programme excess earnings in times of booms may be deposited in an account to avoid economic dislocation during burst when most construction sites are abandoned due to budget cuts.

5 Conclusions

As other sectors of the economy are dependent on the petroleum and gas sector, there is possible causal relationship between them. In this study therefore, the causal relationship between the Construction Sector (CNS) and the Petroleum/oil and Gas Sector (PGS) in Nigeria was examined by analysing time series data with an econometric technique. From the results of the estimation obtained, the study found that the PGS has no substantial impact on the construction sector in the short run through the granger causality test but in the long run, the weak and strong exogeneity tests revealed that the PGS has a considerable impact on the sector. It is noteworthy that the PGS provide government revenue for construction expenditure whereas its enclave nature delays or denies the economy maximum benefits of its potential. In order words, the short-term effect of the PGS on the GDP is insignificant but significant in the long run.

Also, the effects of the GDP on the CNS & PGS are significant. The GDP generate employment, income, saving and investment demand for both the construction sector and the PGS though the foreign investment demand of the PGS is significantly driven by the IOCs. In addition, the effects of the CNS on the GDP & PGS are significant. Up to 10% of the GDP, about 50% of DFC production, up to 20% of employment, and significant ties to numerous other economic sectors are all contributed by the construction industry. Also, Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) contractors provide significant services to the PGS both in the upstream and downstream operations. Furthermore, the study established the importance of the PGS to the CNS and the GDP growth. The mixed effects of the PGS on the CNS imply that the potentials of the PGS in Nigeria as the largest revenue and foreign exchange earner are regrettably underutilised. The PGS remained an enclave in Nigeria and thus unable to help pull most sectors especially the construction sector through backward linkages.

Therefore, the study suggests that government should embark on massive local content development to create extensive forward and backward links of the PGS to all economic sectors in Nigerian. With significant improvement in Local Content Development (LCD) in the PGS, it is obvious that PGS related activities will encompass a number of sectors including the construction sector. Also, the construction sector stands to gain significantly by servicing the PGS in related construction including pipeline, refineries, petrochemicals, gas plant, platforms and depots, etc. Moreover, the Nigerian indigenous construction contractors stand to have the potentials to be among the best through implementation of global best practices due to years of active involvement in PGS-related construction and meeting the global standard of the International Oil Corporations (IOCs). Finally, Nigeria must improve on transparency and due process in the PGS by working with interest group such as the UK's extractive industries transparency initiatives to build international confidence in the PGS.

References

- Abubakar, M., Abdullahi, M. and Bala, K. (2018) 'Analysis of the causality links between the growth of the construction industry and the growth of the Nigerian economy', *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries*, Vol. 23, No. 1, pp.103–113.
- Adamolekun, M. (2020) 'The battle for oil: fighting through corruption & changing socioeconomic landscapes to win over Africa's biggest giants', *Oil and Gas, Natural Resources, and Energy Journal*, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp.607–642.
- Adeogun, C.O. (2021) Crude oil production and the Nigerian economy: a study of the Nigeria Oil and Gas Industry. Available online at: www.researchgate.net (accessed on 18 November 2021).
- Adetutu, M.O., Ebireri, J.E., Murinde, V. and Odusanya, K.A. (2018) 'Oil price booms, Dutch disease and the crowding out of tradable sectors: new insight from bank lending behaviour', *Monte Verita Conference on Sustainable Resource Use and Economic Dynamics (SURED)*, Zurich, Switzerland.
- Akashraj, D. and Mourwel, B.R. (2020) 'The impact of oil sector on the economy theoretical review', *International Journal of Research and Review*, Vol. 7, No. 11, pp.82–94.
- Akinyetun, T.S., Bakare, K., Ahoton, A.S. and Oke, S.J. (2021) 'The political economy of oil and coronavirus disease in Nigeria: imperatives for diversification', *African Journal of Economic Review*, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp.106–128.
- Al-Tabtabai, H. and Soliman, E. (2021) 'Oil prices drop effect on construction industry in Kuwait', *Journal of Engineering Research*. Doi: 10.36909/jer.13377.

- Anyanwu, S.O.U., Stephen O., Olufemi, M.A. and Uwanu, C.I. (2013) 'Structure and growth of the gross domestic product (1960–2008): implications for small-scale enterprises in Nigeria', *Global Advanced Research Journal of Management and Business Studies*, Vol. 2, pp.342–348.
- Asuquo, C.F. and Ogwueleka, A.C. (2015) 'Identification of key macroeconomic variables for forecasting housing construction costs in Nigeria', *International Journal of Sustainable Real Estate and Construction Economics*, Vol. 1, No. 3, pp.261–272.
- Bhavsar, V. and Sangapurkar, A. (2018) 'In what way does the construction sector contribute to economic growth? Empirical evidence from India', *International Journal of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies*, Vol. 11, Nos. 1/2, pp.141–158.
- Cameron, P.D. and Stanley, M.C. (2017) *Oil, gas and mining: a sourcebook for understanding the extractive industries.* Available online at: www.worldbank.org (accessed on 15 March 2022) '
- Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) (2017) Statistical Bulletin, Vol. 28.
- Dakhil, A. (2013) *The Contribution of the Construction Industry to Economic Development in Libya*, Published Doctoral Thesis Submitted to the Liverpool John Moore University.
- Detail Commercial Solicitors (2018) Nigeria Oil and Gas Guide, Vol. 2.
- Dlamini, S. (2018) *Relationship of Construction to Economic Growth*. Available online at: www.irbnet.de (accessed on 13 June 2014).
- Elwerfelli, A. and Benhin, J. (2018) 'Oil a blessing or curse: a comparative assessment of Nigeria, Norway and the United Arab Emirates', *Theoretical Economic Letter*, Vol., No. 8, No. 5, pp.1136–1160. Doi:10.4236/tel.2018.85076.
- Erol, I. and Unal, U. (2015) Role of Construction Sector in Economic Growth: New Evidence from Turkey, Munich Personal RepEc Archive Paper No. 68263. Available online at: http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/68263/ (accessed on 8 December 2015).
- Faminu, G. (2021) *Economic impact of Nigeria's construction industry veiled with untapped opportunities*. Available online at www.businessday.ng (accessed on 24 May 2021).
- Ghirmay, T. (2004) 'Financial development and economic growth in Sub-Saharan African countries: evidence from time series analysis', *African Development Review*, Vol. 16, pp.415– 432.
- Godfrey, N.O. and Oritsematosan, O.O. (2015) 'Deregulation of the downstream sector of the Nigerian petroleum industry: the role of leadership', *European Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 7, No. 8, pp.35–46.
- Kassem, M.A., Khoiry, M.A. and Hamzah, N. (2020) 'Assessment of the effect of external risk factors on the success of an oil and gas construction project', *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, Vol. 27, No. 9, pp.2767–2793.
- Khan, R.A., Liew, M.S. and Ghazali, Z.B. (2013) 'Growth linkage between oil and gas and construction industry of Malaysia (1991–2010)', *Journal of Energy Technologies and Policy*, Vol. 3, No. 11, pp.182–186.
- Kilian, L. and Zhou, X, (2020) The Econometrics of Oil Market VAR Models, Working Paper 2006, Research Department. Doi: 10.24149/wp2006.
- Lalude, G. (2015) 'Importance of oil to the global community', *Global Journal of human Social Science: Political Science*, Vol. 15, No. 1, pp.45–56.
- Li, R.Y.M. and Chau, K.W. (2016) *Econometric Analyses of International Housing Markets*, Taylor and Francis Group, United Kingdom and Routledge, New York.
- Li, R.Y.M., Cheng, K.Y. and Shoaib, M. (2018) 'Walled buildings, sustainability, and housing prices: an artificial neural network approach', Sustainability, Vol. 10, No. 4. Doi: 10.3390/su10041298.
- Malden, A. (2017) *Nigeria's Oil and Gas Revenues: Insights from New Company Disclosures*, Natural Resource Governance Institute. Available online at: www. resourcegovernance.org.

- Moyanga, D.T. and Uzoma, M. (2017) 'Environmental impacts of construction projects development in tertiary institutions in Ondo State, Nigeria', *Proceedings of the 3rd NIQS Research Conference*, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, Nigeria, pp.606-616.
- NNPC (2005) Nigeria: The oil and Gas Industry Investment Opportunities, Vol. 1.
- Ogbonifo, P.E. (2015) 'Opportunity, challenges and obstacles to economic growth and sustainable development through natural Gas in Nigeria', *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*, Vol. 17, No. 5, pp.99–114.
- Ogungbile, A.J., Oke, A.E. and Rasak, K. (2018) 'Developing cost model for preliminary projects estimate of road projects in Nigeria', *International Journal of Sustainable Real Estate and Construction Economics*, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp.182–199.
- Ojo, O.J., Yusuf, B.A. and Anjorin-Ohu, A. (2020) 'Response of Nigerian construction industry to economic growth', *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology*, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp.506–512.
- Okonkwo, U.C., Ujumadu, R.N. and Osu, B.O. (2017) 'A VAR approach to exchange rate and economic growth in Nigeria', *Journal of Mathematical Finance*, Vol. 7, pp.834–845. Doi: 10.4236/jmf.2017.74044.
- Okoye, P.U., Mbakew, C.C. and Igbo, E.N. (2018) 'Modelling the construction sector and oil prices towards the growth of the Nigerian economy: an econometric approach', *Economies*, Vol. 6, No. 16, pp.2-19. Doi: 10.3390/economies6010016.
- Okoye, P.U., Ngwu, C., Ezeokoli, F.O. and Ugochukwu, S.C. (2016) 'Imperatives of economic fluctuations in the growth and performance of Nigeria construction sector', *Microeconomics* and Macroeconomics, Vol. 4, No. 2, pp.46–55. Doi: 10.5923/j.m2economics.20160402.02.
- Olanipekun, A.O. and Saka, N. (2019) 'Response of the Nigerian construction sector to economic shocks', *Construction Economics and Building*, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp.160–180.
- Orji, A., Nwagu, G.U., Ogbuabor, J.E. and Anthony-Orji, O.I. (2021) 'Foreign direct investment and growth nexus: further evidence from Africa's largest economy', *Journal of Infrastructure Development*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp.65–78.
- Osei, D.B., Aglobitse, P.B. and Bentum-Ennin, I. (2017) 'Relationship between construction expenditure and economic growth in Sub-Sahara Africa', *Ghannian Journal of Economics*, Vol. 5, pp.28–55.
- Oyebode, O.J. (2021) 'Strategies for transforming oil and gas sector for economic growth and environmental sustainability in Nigeria', *Journal of Alternate Energy Sources and Technologies*, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp.40–45.
- Patterson, K.D. (2000) 'Bias reduction in autoregressive models', *Economics Letters*, Vol. 68, pp.135–141.
- Pheng, L.S. and Hou, L.S. (2019) 'The economy and construction industry', *The Construction Quality and the Economy*, pp.21–54.
- Ramachandra, T., Rotimi, J.O.B. and Rameezdeen, R. (2014) 'The relationship between construction sector and the national economy of Sri Lanka', *Proceedings of the 17th International Symposium on Advancement of Construction Management and Real Estate*, pp.1263–1271.
- Ruddock, L., Gruneberg, S. and Ruddock, S. (2019) 'Assessing the true value of construction and the built environment to the economy', *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, Vol. 26, No. 5, pp.738–739.
- Saka, N. and Lowe, J. (2010) 'The impact of the petroleum sector on the output of the Nigerian construction sector', *Construction Management and Economics*, Vol. 28, No. 12, pp.1301–1312.
- Saka, N. and Olanipekun, A. O. (2020) 'Relationship between the economy, construction sector and imports in Nigeria', *International Journal of Construction Management*. Doi: 10.1080/15623599.2020.1863173.

- Shaawat, M.E., Jamil, R. and Al-Enezi, M.M. (2018) 'Analysis of challenges in sustainable construction industry by using analytic hierarchy process: a case study of Jubail Industrial City, Saudi Arabia', *International Journal of Sustainable Real Estate and Construction Economics*, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp.109–122.
- Soremi, T. (2020) 'The implication of oil theft on social and economic development in the Nige Delta', *Global Journal of Social Sciences*, Vol. 1, pp.1–11.
- Suppramaniam, S.U.K. and Ismail, S. (2020) 'Critical construction activities of the oil and gas projects in Malaysia', *Journal of Advanced Research Design*, Vol. 63, No. 1, pp.14–22.
- Sweetcrudereport (SCR) (2018) Nigeria ranks 11th among countries with largest proven oil reserves. Available online at: https://sweetcrudereports.com/2018/12/nigeria-ranks-11thamong-countries-with-largest-proven-oil-reserves/
- Tsiga, Z., Emes, M. and Smith, A. (2017) 'Critical success factors for projects in the petroleum industry', *Procedia Computer Science*, Vol. 121, pp.224–231.
- Udok, U., Udofia, M. and Okunbolade, O. (2020) 'Local content development in the oil and gas industry in Nigeria: problems and prospects', *European Journal of Training and Development Studies*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp.64–83.
- UNDP (2001) Human Development Report, Making New Technologies Work for Human Development, United Nations Development Programme, New York. Available online at: http://www.undp.org
- Wang, W. (2021) 'The oil and gas sector in Canada: a year after the start of the pandemic', *Economic and Social Reports*. Doi: 10.25318/36280001202100700003-eng.
- Xiao, Y., Sheng, Y. and Liu, Y. (2019) 'The influencing factors study on environmental responsibility motive of oil and gas enterprises', *International Journal of Environmental Engineering*, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp.47–59.
- Yakubu, F.Y. (2017) Future of Nigeria's Petroleum Industry in View of Global Development in Energy Alternatives, submitted to the Energy Planning and Analysis Department Energy Commission of Nigeria.
- Yusuf, M.A. (2016) 'An investigation into the contribution of construction industry in nation gross domestic product (GDP)', Paper presented at the *Academic Conference on Agenda for Sub-Sahara Africa*, University of Abuja, Teaching Hospital, Conference Hall, Gwagwalada, Abuja FCT, Nigeria, Vol. 4, No. 1.